

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/038,326                                       | 01/02/2002  | Roger Smith          | SRF-001-A               | 7254             |
| 7590 09/24/2004                                  |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| Christian J. Garascia                            |             |                      | BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M   |                  |
| YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.<br>Suite 624                |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 3001 West Big Beaver Road<br>Troy, MI 48084-3107 |             |                      | 3611                    | <u></u>          |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

| Application No.      | Applicant(s) |   |
|----------------------|--------------|---|
| 10/038,326           | SMITH, ROGE  | R |
| Examiner             | Art Unit     |   |
| Anne Marie M Boehler | 3611         |   |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co  | orrespondence address   |
|--|---|
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment whice condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | ation. A proper reply to a  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  | the final rejection.<br>FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                                       |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the f<br>37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | fee. The appropriate extension fee under ne final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 August 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed with 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |   |
| (a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s  | ee NOTE below);   |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |
| (c)       they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or   | rially reducing or simplifying the  |
| (d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> would be allowable if submitted in amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | a separate, timely filed  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consi application in condition for allowance because:  | dered but does NOT place the  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY traised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | to issues which were newly  |
| 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided belo  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>8-12 and 21-24</u> .  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: 25.  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 18-20</u> .  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-17</u> .  |   |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by t   | he Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | ·   |
| 10. Other:   | 4.  |
|  | Anne Marie M Boehler<br>Primary Examiner  |
|  | Art Unit: 3611  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Recitiation of a device for generating energy including an intesity varying mechanism and a flow rate varying mechanism is a new issue requiring further consideration and search..